

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,318	02/13/2001	Eming Xia	P01849	9816
75	590 12/13/2001			
Robert B. Furr, Jr. Law Department Bausch & Lomb Incorporated			EXAMINER	
			ELHILO, EISA B	
One Bausch & Lomb Place Rochester, NY 14604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1751	7
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2001	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

į.	_		1818			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/782,318		SOLTYS-ROBITALLE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of the control of t	Eisa B Elhilo	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed Im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 F	-ebruary 2001 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-fina	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers	•		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		10001				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)	•					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO ther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/782,318

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 1-19 are pending in this application.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 2/13/01 has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has been lost. Examiner requests a copy of the information disclosure citation with the form PTO 1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2 The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10-12 are indefinite because the claims recite the phrase "wherein said osmolyte".

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riedhammer et al. (US' 4,820,352).

Application/Control Number: 09/782,318

Art Unit: 1751

Riedhammer (US' 352) teaches a cleaning composition for contact lenses to remove build-up of tear film deposits and surfaces debrits. The composition comprises from about 0.01 to about 15 weight percent of poly (oxypropylene)-poly (oxyethylene) adduct of ethylene diamine having a molecular weight from about 7500 to about 27,000 wherein at least 40 weight percent of said adduct is poly (oxyethylene), buffering agents (see col. 2, lines 60-68 and col. 3, lines 1-7), an effective amount of antibacterial agent (see col. 4, lines 45-47). The adduct having a molecular weight from about 10,000 to about 20,000 where at least 40 weight percent, and more particularly, from about 12,000 to about 19,000 where at least 60 weight percent and more particularly, from 60 to 80 weight percent of the adduct is poly (oxyethylene) (see col. 3, lines 40-51) and tonicity (osmolytes) agents (see col. 5, lines 10-19). Riedhammer also teaches a method for cleaning the contact lenses. The method comprises the step of soaking the lens in the cleaning solution that described above at room temperature for a period ranging from four to twelve hours. The lenses are then removed from the solution, washed in preserved isotonic saline solution and then replaced on the eyes (see col. 5, lines 37-47).

The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting cleaning ingredients such as tonicity (osmolyte) agent with molecular weight in different ranges.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to make such a composition because the reference teaches an osmolyte agent of metal halide such as sodium chloride that has a molecular weight within the claimed ranges (see col. Col. 6, Examples I, II and III). The person of ordinary skills in the art would expect such composition to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Art Unit: 1751

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huth (US' 5,630,884), Xia et al. (US' 6,09,658 B1), Hu et a. (US' 6,037,328), Xia et al. (US' 6,143,244) and Heiler et al. (US' 6,096,138).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0217. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Eisa

December 10, 2001

LORNA M. DOUYON PRIMARY EXAMINER